



- 1     ▪ Dawe participated in Federal Legislative Day; he met with staff in congressional and senatorial  
2 offices on the needs of California libraries; follow-up letters are included in the Board packet. Based  
3 on feedback from two congressional offices, Dawe had related discussions with the Interim Director  
4 of the Institute of Museum and Library Services.
- 5     ▪ The Library of California entered into a Joint Statement on Collaboration with the California  
6 Digital Library; a press release was sent to numerous newspapers announcing this collaboration.
- 7     ▪ The Governor appointed Barton Pachino of the Kaufman and Broad Home Corporation to the  
8 Library Construction Board.

9     **BOARD VICE PRESIDENT'S REPORT**

10       Fong reported on strategic planning for the Library of California Board and presented the  
11 following motion.

12       *It was moved, seconded (Fong/Spence) and carried unanimously that the Library of*  
13 *California Board adopts a mission statement, as follows: The Library of California*  
14 *Board builds and supports the sharing of resources among all libraries for all*  
15 *Californians.*

16  
17       Strategic planning will include goals and objectives at the August 2000 Board meeting.

18     **CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT**

19       On behalf of Dr. Kevin Starr, California State Librarian, Cameron Robertson, Deputy State  
20 Librarian, reported as follows:

- 21     ▪ Dr. Starr is progressing very well since his surgery and has returned to some light duties from his  
22 home in San Francisco.
- 23     ▪ The Legislature has not sent a budget to the Governor. Robertson reviewed the proposed budget  
24 in January, the revised budget in May, and the augmentations made by the Legislature.
- 25     ▪ Renovation of the Library and Courts I building is near completion; the return of collections is  
26 anticipated by the end of June 2000.
- 27     ▪ The California State Library continues to work closely with San Francisco State University in the  
28 planning phase of the Sutro Library. \$400,000 was included in the budget for interim repairs to the  
29 current Sutro facility and \$50,000 was included for the joint use facility at San Francisco State.

- 1     ▪ Dr. Starr presented the first State Library Gold Medal to Dave Brubeck, jazz musician and  
2 composer, in late May at the University of Pacific in Stockton. It is hoped that the California State  
3 Library (CSL) will make these presentations on an annual basis, and the CSL is considering creating a  
4 permanent memorial of these awards in the Library and Courts Building in Sacramento.
- 5     ▪ Gary Kurutz, Special Collections Curator, recently assembled and presented a gold rush display,  
6 now digitized and on the State Library's World Wide Web.

7         Discussion followed on advocacy for promoting the Library of California. Kallenberg  
8 emphasized the need of focusing more resources into the development of the Library of California's  
9 Web site. Mark Parker, Assistant Bureau Chief, Library Development Services, stated that steps are  
10 being taken to improve the quality of the Web site and the currency of its information. The Library  
11 Development Services Bureau is in the process of hiring a Senior Librarian to work on the Web site.  
12 Secondly, Library Development Services has initiated the purchase of a document management  
13 system for the Bureau, including the Library of California, that will greatly facilitate the movement of  
14 documents within the Bureau and the ability to post them easily onto the Web site. Liz Gibson,  
15 Bureau Chief, Library Development Services, added that there is also a Library of California funded  
16 position added to the State Library's Information Technology Bureau, specifically to assist the  
17 Library of California with its Web site and LoC LAN capabilities. The CSL is in the process of  
18 recruiting for the position.

19     **PRESENTATION ON LOC COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM**

20         Gibson reported that a presentation of the California State Library newsletter would be made after  
21 some final revisions. The CSL expects to have the eight-page online version, half of which is on the  
22 Library of California and some Bond Act information, on the Web site no later than June 28, 2000.

23     **PRESENTATION BY ACADEMIC LIBRARY DIRECTOR**

24         Diana Paque, Library of California Director, introduced John Murray, a member of the Gold  
25 Coast Planning Group and Director of Westmont College. Murray discussed the usefulness of the  
26 Internet, the importance of library staffing, and the work and development of the library, which is part

1 of the TIE (Total Interlibrary Exchange) Network. He expressed concerns that many college students  
2 know very little about libraries and lack information literacy with regard to the validity of information  
3 imparted on the Internet and ethical use of information retrieved on the Internet. Murray supports the  
4 LoC in negotiating mainstream databases and advised that the LoC build on the experience of others  
5 who have already negotiated a statewide database contract.

## 6 **LEGISLATION**

7 Steinhauser distributed amended versions of SB 1634, Internet Access Centers, and SB 1774 on  
8 computer access. Steinhauser and Barbara Will, Legislative Consultant, gave a joint report.

### 9 **State Legislation:**

- 10 ▪ No change on SB 927, funding to microfilm endangered California newspapers; it is anticipated  
11 that the Governor will sign the \$300,000 augmentation since it was in the proposed budget and  
12 both Houses concurred.
- 13 ▪ SB 571, Families for Literacy Program received a \$508,000 increase in the Governor's proposed  
14 budget and both Houses concurred. The May revision of the Governor's budget included an  
15 augmentation of \$10 million for the English Language Learner Intensive Program and authorization  
16 to expend the \$3.2 million from the Proposition Tobacco Tax for Families for Literacy.
- 17 ▪ AB 2486, retitled, "Youth Mentoring and Safe Communities Grant Program," is going to the  
18 Senate floor on June 28<sup>th</sup>. Steinhauser thanked individual libraries for writing letters of support to  
19 Assemblyman Carl Washington.
- 20 ▪ AB 2311, California School Library Media Teacher Expansion Program, died in the Assembly  
21 Appropriations Committee suspense file.
- 22 ▪ AB 2757, Kevin Starr Access to Information Act of 2000, which serves the needs of blind or  
23 visually impaired people, was passed by the Assembly and sent to the Senate.
- 24 ▪ The Board considered supporting two additional bills: SB 1634, Internet access, through which  
25 the Department of Education would create Internet access centers throughout the state, with libraries  
26 as one of the potential locations, and SB 1774, computer access, through which the Department of

1 Education would provide grants for extended hours not only in schools but in public libraries that  
2 have Internet access.

3 The Legislative Committee presented a motion in support of SB 1634. Members discussed the  
4 source of funding on which types of libraries would be most benefitted. Dawe asked for and received  
5 clarification on the preferences indicated in SB 1634 where it appeared that those most benefitted  
6 would be where Internet access centers are already present.

7 *It was moved by the Legislative Committee (Steinhauser) and lost by a vote of 4 yeas*  
8 *and 4 nays that the Library of California Board supports SB 1634.*  
9

10 The Legislative Committee presented a motion in support of SB 1774. Members discussed  
11 support issues surrounding SB 1774. Spence recommended that it would be much better for the  
12 Library of California to advocate returning the ERAF (Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund)  
13 funds taken from the local communities in the early 1990's, rather than set up a small grant program  
14 that depended upon whether people apply and meet the criteria, if the long term goal is truly access to  
15 allow for longer library hours and longer days as indicated in SB 1774. Fong supported Spence.  
16 Dawe tabled the consideration of support for SB 1774 to 1:00 p.m. the following day of the Board  
17 meeting, after it is heard in the Assembly Education Committee.

18 **Federal Legislation:**

19 ■ Will stated that a proposal regarding reauthorization of LSTA from ALA will be completed  
20 by December 2000. LSTA issues in reauthorization are two "set asides," one supported by the  
21 Urban Libraries Council for children in poverty, and the second is the suggestion that there be a  
22 national dollar allotment to allow resource sharing across state lines. Another issue may be  
23 maintenance of effort. Will agreed to serve on the ALA Committee of approximately 20 people  
24 nationwide to craft the ALA proposal.

25 Steinhauser suggested that the Legislative Committee make a presentation on LSTA  
26 reauthorization to the Board in November and asked if staff could survey priorities of the public  
27 library community. Kallenberg asked that the Legislative Committee provide information to the  
28 Board prior to the November meeting, and suggested that all parties be notified, such as the

1 California Library Association, and that other associations be invited if they have positions on  
2 this issue.

- 3 ▪ Will stated that the Senate has recommended 35 grants out of next year's 2000/2001  
4 allocation, which would cut down California's funding.
- 5 ▪ HR 354 and HR 1858 on "copyright" and "fair use" are still pending in Congress. Tutorials  
6 prepared by ALA on copyright, including fair use, will be sent to the Board prior to the  
7 November meeting. Paul Smith, CSL's General Counsel, will be asked to present information to  
8 the Board on these issues.
- 9 ▪ Jim Dawe, Liz Gibson and Barbara Will attended National Legislative Day in Washington,  
10 D.C., and spoke to staff of the two Senators and met with at least 90 % of the staff of our 52  
11 Congressional Representatives. Primary issues addressed were continued funding of LSTA and  
12 the problems of copyright in an electronic environment.
- 13 ▪ Will described the National Advocacy Honor Roll created by the ALA of the ten most  
14 influential library advocates in every state for the last 100 years. Recognition was determined in  
15 conjunction with the California Library Association, CALTAC, and with The Friends and  
16 Foundations of Libraries statewide. Posthumously recognized were Edith M. Coulter, James L.  
17 Gillis, Henry Huntington, Joseph Rowell and Charles Loomis. The following recognitions went  
18 to organizations and individuals: State Senator Dede Alpert, State Senator Richard Rainey, U.S.  
19 Congressman Randy "Duke" Cunningham, the California Public Utilities Commission, and the  
20 current Board President, Jim Dawe. A plaque with the names of those honored will be placed in  
21 the rotunda of the State Library, and California will be add annually one name of a person who  
22 has died and one who is living.

## 23 **LIBRARY OF CALIFORNIA**

### 24 **General Program Update**

25 Paque gave an update on the LoC program, including the funding activity of Board  
26 allocations from calendar year 1999 through June 9, 2000, LoC Board Priorities, LoC Team

1 Activities and Timeline. Dawe asked that funding issues and the potential LoC Budget Change  
2 Proposal (BCP) for 2001/02 be moved to the end of the meeting.

### 3 **Regulations**

4 Steinhauser reported that as of June, 2000, the regulations became effective, and expressed a  
5 round of thanks to all those who participated in the shaping of the regulations, especially Barbara  
6 Will.

### 7 **Access Services**

8 Fong called on Tom Andersen, CLSA Program Coordinator, for a report.

### 9 **Interlibrary Loan (ILL) and Direct Loan Programs**

10 Andersen reviewed the consideration for continuation of the LoC Interlibrary Loan Pilot  
11 Program. Discussion followed on the need for a database project for evaluative data, indicating  
12 the effects of access to full-text databases on interlibrary loan requests. Discussion included  
13 recommendations for loan compensation made by the Focus Group on Interlibrary Loan and  
14 Direct Loan. The results of the Focus Group indicate that the CLSA model may not be the  
15 appropriate methodology to use and that more time is needed to reevaluate and incorporate some  
16 new ideas. A Summary Report of the Focus Group's work, prepared by Joan Frye Williams, May  
17 2000, is Exhibit A in the packet.

18 *It was moved by the Access Services Committee (Fong) and carried unanimously that*  
19 *the Library of California Board directs its Chief Executive Officer to allocate*  
20 *2000/2001 Library of California funds not to exceed \$500,000 to support the extension*  
21 *of the current interlibrary loan pilot program through December 31, 2000.*  
22

23 To reschedule implementation of the Direct Loan Pilot Program, Fong presented a motion.

24 Dawe asked for public comment. Gail McPartland, Golden Gateway, stated that there had  
25 not been an overwhelming response to do the pilot at this time.

26 Annette Milliron, North Bay Cooperative Library System, Golden Gateway, stated that public  
27 building access and safety issues were concerns for academic and school libraries, that it would  
28 take a lot more time and committee work in each region to implement the program.

1 Margaret Todd, Whittier Public Library, Arroyo Seco, stated that Whittier Library is a net  
2 lender and the current model does not reimburse costs adequately. The sampling is not always an  
3 accurate reflection, and agreed that the committee should look at a different model.

4 John McGinnis, Cerritos College Library, Arroyo Seco, agreed with Todd and added that the  
5 service should remain a high priority and not be dropped from the service agenda.

6 Fong expressed the importance of having the support of all members to implement the  
7 program and indicated there may be some changes in the priorities of proposed funding for  
8 2000/2001. To confirm that implementation of the pilot is a priority, Paque added that the  
9 committee and staff will continue to work on the pilot and will report in February 2001.

10 *It was moved, seconded (Fong/Purucker) and carried unanimously that the Library of*  
11 *California Board reconsiders its motion of February 2000 authorizing the expenditure*  
12 *of up to \$1,000,000 from 2000/2001 LoC funds for the direct loan program.*

13  
14 Discussion continued on this multifaceted program, budgetary issues, current infrastructure,  
15 infrastructure for LoC members, telecommunications and easy access for patrons. Andersen  
16 added that the Summary Report prepared by Joan Frye Williams, May 2000, is on the LoC Web  
17 site. The report was been mailed to all regional contacts. Through CALIX, Andersen asked that  
18 all libraries read the report and send in comments on implementation of the pilot by mid-July  
19 2000, and he asked for Board comments as well. Dawe added that the content of the Summary  
20 Report was in response to the recommendation in the Himmel & Wilson report.

21 *It was moved by the Access Services Committee (Fong) and carried unanimously that*  
22 *the Library of California Board postpones implementation of the direct loan pilot*  
23 *program until the Board develops Library of California direct loan compensation*  
24 *protocols and that the Chief Executive Officer develop a compensation model for*  
25 *consideration by the Board at its February 2001 meeting.*

#### 26 27 **CLSA Interlibrary Loan, Universal Borrowing, Equal Access Programs**

28 Fong called on Sandy Habbestad, CLSA Program Analyst to address the potential Budget  
29 Change Proposal for the CLSA Interlibrary Loan and Direct Loan Programs for 2001/2002.  
30 Habbestad reported that the amount requested will be calculated using the latest set of actual data  
31 for the fiscal year 1999/2000 transaction levels and that a budget augmentation of \$1.802 million

1 is in the Governor's proposed 2000/2001 budget. Dawe asked about libraries that withdrew from  
2 System membership in order to charge non-residents for library services and if this was a current  
3 trend. Habbestad stated that the last two libraries, Huntington Beach and Santa Ana, withdrew  
4 from a System 3 or 4 years ago. Spence stated that this is still an issue for some libraries, even  
5 though they may not have withdrawn from the system.

6 Steve Brogdin, Director of Thousand Oaks Library, stated that Thousand Oaks instituted a  
7 non-resident fee for library services. In 1991, Thousand Oaks had a population of 100,000; the  
8 number of registered borrowers was at 140,000 at that time. After instituting the fee, cardholders  
9 dropped to 105,000; circulation stayed approximately the same. The purpose of the fee was to get  
10 non-resident use to a manageable level, an ongoing issue Thousand Oaks faces today, and a  
11 primary consideration about whether Thousand Oaks wants to become a member of the LoC.

12 Kallenberg clarified that Thousand Oaks is a CLSA participant, but not a participant in the  
13 universal borrowing program, as they are a member of MCLS, so their fee is only for  
14 nonresidents who are not members of MCLS.

15 *It was moved by the Access Services Committee (Fong) and carried unanimously that*  
16 *the Library of California Board authorizes the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a*  
17 *Budget Change Proposal, for Board consideration at the August 2000 meeting, for*  
18 *additional 2001/02 local assistance funding for the CLSA Interlibrary Loan and Direct*  
19 *Loan Programs.*

#### 20 21 **CLSA ILL and Direct Loan Programs Update**

22 Habbestad gave an update on program trends, stating that current activity levels are projected  
23 to increase for the ILL program by approximately 5% from the previous year's totals; while final  
24 figures for the direct loan program have decreased over 5% from the 1998/99 totals. It is  
25 estimated that a final payment will be made to participants at 96.8% of the total reimbursable cost  
26 of services.

#### 27 **State Reference Centers Program**

28 Fong called on Will for a report. Will provided background information and gave an update  
29 on program status, program trends and related issues. Will discussed the issue of whether LSTA

1 should or could continue to support the First Source service, which provides backup to the 15  
2 CLSA System Reference Centers. First Source will continue through September 30, 2000; more  
3 data will be provided at the February 2001 LoC. The LoC continues to pilot other projects related  
4 to the State Reference Centers program. One is local training and resources; the second is backup  
5 for the regional level using contracts or commercial databases such as those from First Source.  
6 The third component is the Ethnic Resource Center.

7       Currently, two pilot projects are under way. One provides consumer health information and  
8 is being replicated in several locations throughout the country. The National Library of Medicine  
9 partners with the CSL LSTA funded project at Loma Linda University, Riverside, on consumer  
10 health needs. Loma Linda refers consumer health questions to the Riverside Public Library  
11 (RPL) and RPL refers medical questions to the Loma Linda University. The project is being  
12 expanded to all of the public libraries of Region V in Inland and Serra.

13       The second project is the Virtual Ethnic Resource Center. A prototype as a CD product was  
14 used in National City and at another site in San Diego. It is now on a statewide Web site.

### 15 **Young Adult Services**

16       Fong reviewed implementation of the Young Adult Services Program and called on Bessie  
17 Condos Tichauer, Children and Youth Services Consultant, for an update on program status.  
18 Tichauer reported that AB 2486, Youth Mentoring and Safe Communities Grant Program, was  
19 introduced earlier this year, cleared the Assembly, and was referred to the Senate Education  
20 Committee and will be heard this coming Wednesday at 9:30 a.m. The budget is now at \$2.5  
21 million, more than has ever been allocated over a two-year period for Young Adult Services.

22       Fong called on Paque for an update on the developing Resource Libraries Program. Paque  
23 discussed her work with the University of California and California State University librarians.  
24 Interviews for the Library Program Consultant to work with the Library Resources Program are  
25 scheduled for next week, and an appointment is expected before the next Board meeting.

1 **Support Services**

2 **Library of California Telecommunications**

3 Kallenberg reviewed the Telecommunications Infrastructure report, the projects approved by  
4 the Board, funds encumbered for the award notices to be sent out June 26, 2000, and called on  
5 Mark Parker, Assistant Bureau Chief, Library Development Services, for an update. Parker  
6 referred to issues in the report for Board consideration as the telecommunications program  
7 develops, and further referenced the application summaries in the agenda packet. Parker added  
8 that these projects will tie into the loan reimbursement program, with an expected increase in  
9 access and resource sharing.

10 **Telecommunications Infrastructure**

11 Kallenberg called on Ira Bray, Electronic Resources Consultant, for the update on Z39.50  
12 programs. Bray discussed the Board's consideration of funding a continuation of the grant process  
13 for the Z39.50 server software for individual libraries, a building block to the telecommunications  
14 infrastructure for the regions and the state. Ten applications are in process.

15 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Purucker) and carried unanimously that the*  
16 *Library of California Board directs the Chief Executive Officer to allocate Library of*  
17 *California funds not to exceed \$112,500 to continue the Z39.50 Server Software grant*  
18 *program for 2000/2001.*

19  
20 **CLSA Statewide Communications and Delivery Program**

21  
22 Kallenberg stated there was nothing further to add to the update provided in the report.  
23

24 **California Digital Library/Library of California Collaboration**

25 Paque reported that the Joint Statement on Collaboration now includes the Board's priorities  
26 in relation to the Joint Statement, a revision requested by the Board at the April meeting. Paque  
27 pointed out the press release on this collaboration was created by the CSL Communications  
28 Office. She indicated that a list of the media sources to which the press release was sent would be  
29 provided at the next meeting. The article from the Chronicle of Higher Education that has been  
30 provided to the Board was based on this press release. It appeared on their Web site in their daily

1 update for Wednesday, June 14, 2000. Dawe requested staff to continue to provide distribution  
2 lists on press releases to every member of the Board.

3 Sarah Pritchard, University Librarian, UC Santa Barbara, stated that most of the work of the  
4 California Digital Library Projects is done by the individual campus libraries, which are among  
5 the LoC statewide resource libraries. Therefore, the materials that will be going into the  
6 government information initiatives and the Online Archive of California are in our libraries, and  
7 it is the library staff who are participating and making these initiatives happen. She welcomed  
8 the official collaboration.

9 Dawe asked about ways to publicize the collaboration. Paque stated that she received a call  
10 from Mike Rogers of the Library Journal about the collaboration press release and that she  
11 expects an article there as well as the one in the Chronicle of Higher Education.

12 Gibson stated that an article derived from the press release would be the lead article in the  
13 first edition of the Connection Newsletter, and welcomed any suggestions on distribution of the  
14 article.

#### 15 **Statewide Information Databases – California Digital Library’s Government Information Initiative**

16 Kallenberg reported that the California Data Warehouse Project has been renamed,  
17 “California Counts,” and that staff is working with CDL staff to create a periodic reporting and  
18 evaluation process.

#### 19 **Statewide Information Databases**

20 Kallenberg called on Bray for an update to the Board. Bray reported that exploratory talks  
21 about economies of scale and cooperative purchasing have begun with Amigos and BCR, which  
22 are national regional library networks based on large geographic regions. Partnering with  
23 Amigos and BCR is being considered as an option to provide LoC members with access to  
24 cooperative reduced-cost contracts for subscriptions to database licenses. Parker added that both  
25 BCR and Amigos are OCLC Regional Networks and have individually expanded to provide

1 additional services to members. Bray will provide a matrix of options for cooperative database  
2 purchasing.

3 Kallenberg added that LoC funding to co-brand the Librarians' Index to the Internet becomes  
4 effective July 1, 2000, and an analysis of the RAND California trial project will be available in  
5 August. Bray stated that the LoC would make information about all trial program participants  
6 (RAND, Z39.50, trial databases) available on the LoC Web site.

7 Discussion followed on copyright issues surrounding database projects. Parker stated that  
8 Paul Smith, CSL General Counsel, advised that the Librarians' Index to the Internet (LII) is not  
9 directly managed by the State of California, rather by the Peninsula Library System and its  
10 author, Carol Leita. The LII is considered copyrightable; Carol Leita holds the copyright on LII  
11 and is willing to assign copyright as needed to the benefit of the LII. LSTA has a provision that  
12 allows the party that creates the database to copyright it. For LSTA-funded products, the state of  
13 California and the federal government also retain a right to use the product as they see fit.

#### 14 **CLSA Statewide Data Base**

15 Kallenberg gave an update on program status and stated that the program is currently funded  
16 at \$275,000. The following two motions continue the past policy of the Board.

17 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Tuttle) and carried unanimously that the Library*  
18 *of California Board approves the allocation of 2000/01 funds for payment of CLSA*  
19 *Statewide Data Base annual subsidies in the amounts of \$65, \$500, and \$5,000,*  
20 *consistent with the 1998/99 subsidy guidelines, and instructs the Chief Executive*  
21 *Officer to invite claims for such payments according to the requirements described in*  
22 *Exhibit A. (Exhibit A in the agenda packet.)*  
23

24 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Fong) and carried unanimously that the Library*  
25 *of California Board approves the allocation of any 2000/01 Statewide Data Base funds*  
26 *not required for payment of annual subsidies for competitive block grants of \$10,000*  
27 *each to individual public libraries, for retrospective conversion and instructs the Chief*  
28 *Executive Officer to invite applications for such block grants according to the*  
29 *requirements described in Exhibit B. (Exhibit B in the agenda packet.)*  
30

31 Discussion followed on the number of participating public library jurisdictions. Andersen  
32 stated that the invitation letter for block grants would be sent to every public library.

1 **Regional Library Network Development**

2 Paque described the staff review process and the role of the Board in reviewing network  
3 applications to determine whether regions meet the legal requirements for application approval.  
4 She reviewed the first application from Gold Coast Library Network as an example of this  
5 process and the standard criteria staff developed to review applications, the regulations and the  
6 law. She identified several problems determined by staff during their initial review.

7 Pritchard stated that Gold Coast did not understand some of the details until later in the  
8 process and that there are still areas in the regulations that are not clear. Dawe asked staff to  
9 indicate areas in regulations that are not clear and need the Board's clarification.

10 Spence stated that there appears to be a misconception that the CLSA system disappears  
11 when a Regional Library Network is approved, and that is not the case.

12 Comments and questions from the audience raised issues on funding, a letter of intent to join  
13 a network, when interim eligibility to participate ends, when membership begins, ongoing  
14 endorsement of new members, membership services, clarification in the application process,  
15 number of members in a network, the BCP process, and the interrelationship between  
16 administering organizations and the services that organizations deliver.

17 Andersen stated that advice from legal counsel is needed on a letter of intent to join  
18 statement. Paque stated that staff would get a ruling on the questions and get the information out  
19 as soon as possible. Dawe encouraged staff to bring to the attention of the Board any statutory or  
20 regulatory impediments to implementation.

21 **General Program Update continued, Funding Issues for the Library of California**

22 Paque updated the Board on the enclosed report and corrected Document 7, page 6, first line,  
23 from 2000/2001 fiscal year to 2001/2002. Fong emphasized the importance of considering the  
24 BCP for all statewide programs and the importance of support to resource libraries and regional  
25 networks for services. Kallenberg emphasized the importance of getting services out to the  
26 public, strengthening the database program, options for BCPs and the variety of issues that also

1 impact the database program, ongoing network support and development of services from the  
2 regional networks.

3 **LEGISLATION update**

4 Steinhauser reported that SB 1774 was not heard in the Assembly Education Committee and  
5 had been rescheduled. She provided copies of SB 1774 (Amended in Senate May 16, 2000) and  
6 reviewed areas of support relative to the LoC. Kallenberg supported the bill if amended to have  
7 the CSL administer the funds. Margaret Todd, on behalf of the CLA Legislative Committee,  
8 stated that CLA would support SB 1774 if amended to have the CSL administer the funds for  
9 public libraries. Kallenberg offered a substitute motion in support of SB 1774.

10 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Purucker) and carried unanimously that the*  
11 *Library of California Board supports SB 1774 if amended so that the California State*  
12 *Library administers the program for public libraries.*

13  
14 **CALIFORNIA LIBRARY LITERACY SERVICE AND FAMILIES FOR LITERACY**

15  
16 **CLSA Special Services Program – Literacy**

17  
18 Al Bennett, Literacy Specialist, gave an update on program status and reviewed the  
19 background leading to consideration of the following motions to prepare Budget Change  
20 Proposals. Discussion continued on the process for increasing the state match.

21 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Tuttle) and carried unanimously that the Library*  
22 *of California Board directs the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a Budget Change*  
23 *Proposal, for Board consideration at the August 2000 meeting, to seek additional*  
24 *2001/02 local assistance funding to increase the state match for libraries with*  
25 *established California Library Literacy Service programs to approximately 30%.*

26  
27 Bennett reviewed the background for the following motion.

28  
29 *It was moved, seconded (Tuttle/Steinhauser) and carried unanimously that the Library*  
30 *of California Board directs the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a Budget Change*  
31 *Proposal, for Board consideration at the August 2000 meeting, to seek additional*  
32 *2001/02 local assistance funding to expand Families for Literacy services in California*  
33 *libraries.*

34

1 **CALIFORNIA LIBRARY SERVICES ACT (CLSA) TRANSITION**

2 On behalf of Member Spence, Kallenberg presented the committee report.

3 **CLSA Program Transitioning**

4 Kallenberg stated that the LoC budget affects transition and the LoC has not received  
5 network budgets from enough regions for review of their transition planning. Paque stated the  
6 need to develop a timeline and need to continue to receive field input for moving CLSA System-  
7 level programs into the LoC.

8 Margaret Todd, representing Whittier Library and some MCLS libraries, expressed concern  
9 for LoC's success and the need to focus direct service to citizens. She thanked the LoC Board for  
10 the databases just received, which provide direct service to citizens. She urged the LoC Board to  
11 focus on direct service via technology to citizens such as the database funding just provided.

12 **CLSA Equal Access Program**

13 Kallenberg stated there was nothing further to report until after Plans of Service are received  
14 and analyzed for the Board.

15 **CLSA System Reference Program**

16 Kallenberg presented the following motion from the committee. Habbestad added that the  
17 proposed motion includes the following five library jurisdictions that withdrew from county  
18 library systems since 1997/98 and have affiliated with CLSA systems: Riverside County, Moreno  
19 Valley Library and Murietta Public Library joining the Inland Library System, Calabasas joining  
20 MCLS, and Pleasanton Public Library joining the BALIS library system. The proposed amount  
21 for the BCP would be \$27,888.

22 *It was moved by the CLSA Transition Committee (Kallenberg) and carried*  
23 *unanimously that the Library of California Board authorizes the Chief Executive*  
24 *Officer to prepare a Budget Change Proposal, for Board consideration at the August*  
25 *2000 meeting, for additional 2001/02 local assistance funding for the CLSA System*  
26 *Reference Program to meet additional costs to the program for newly formed library*  
27 *jurisdictions that affiliate with a CLSA System by 2000/01.*

28  
29 Habbestad reported that the May report for Serra Cooperative Library System indicates  
30 96.3% requests were answered in 10 days or less, an update to the 93% indicated in the packet.

1 **CLSA System Communications and Delivery Program**

2 Kallenberg presented the following motion for consideration. Habbestad added that the  
3 proposed amount is \$12,612.

4 *It was moved by the CLSA Transition Committee (Kallenberg) that the Library of*  
5 *California Board authorizes the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a Budget Change*  
6 *Proposal, for Board consideration at the August 2000 meeting, for additional 2001/02*  
7 *local assistance funding for the CLSA System Communications and Delivery Program*  
8 *to meet additional costs to the program for newly formed library jurisdictions that*  
9 *affiliate with a CLSA System by 2000/01.*

10  
11 **CLSA System Advisory Board Program**

12 Kallenberg gave an update on program status and details about the November SAB luncheon.

13 **Consolidations and Affiliations**

14 Kallenberg stated there was no information to report beyond that in the agenda packet.

15 **OPEN FORUM**

16 Pat Harper, Siskiyou County Free Library, stated that under-funded programs affect the quality  
17 of service. Delivery programs, for instance, that pay minimum wages and have part-time staff  
18 encourage short-term employees who are not committed to the work and can cause difficulties in  
19 deliveries. In addition, the complexities of electronic databases require training for staff.

20 Linda Katsouleas, Buena Park Library District, Arroyo Seco, agreed that the LoC is under-  
21 funded and that a selection of database licenses is essential for different types of libraries.

22 Paymaneh Maghsoudi, Library & Community Services Director, at Azusa City Library,  
23 stated that their Board fully supports the Library of California, but still questions what is  
24 deliverable and encouraged the LoC Board to concentrate on getting services to the community.

25 John Murray, Gold Coast, stated that LoC funding should be expended on the most needed  
26 databases such EBSCO or IAC.

27 Kathy Aaron, Tierra del Sol, expressed thanks for the database grants and provided various  
28 updates on Tierra del Sol and Inland Library System activities.

29 John McGinnis, Cerritos College Library, asked how and when adequate funding will be  
30 provided for the LoC, and how transitioning will be dealt with at that time.

1 Margaret Todd, Whittier Library, commented on the order in which things may need to be  
2 accomplished to be successful, that some things may be better done at the state level, and that the  
3 complexity of needs within some regions requires the LoC Board to build in flexibility to make  
4 progress.

5 Barbara Custen, Arroyo Seco, agreed with Todd on the order of things.

6 **ANNOUNCEMENT**

7 Mark Parker recognized Liz Gibson, Bureau Chief, Library Development Services and stated  
8 that, “This is last Board meeting that Liz Gibson will be with us as Bureau Chief. He indicated  
9 that as questions arise, he often thinks, ‘What would Liz do?’ which reflects the high caliber of  
10 thought and deeds that Liz brings to all of us.” Parker thanked Gibson for her guidance and the  
11 help she has given staff and the Board over the years as Bureau Chief and recognized her value to  
12 the Bureau, to the California State Library, and to the Library of California.

13 Kallenberg stated that when Gibson comes back as a Planning Consultant, she would be well  
14 received.

15 Pat Harper, Siskiyou County Free Library, extended thanks to the entire State Library staff  
16 for work over the past few years.

17 Judith Segel, Gold Coast, thanked everyone for coming to Region VII for the meeting.

18 **BOARD COMMENTS**

19 Board Members expressed many thank you’s to staff for the location and process of a fine  
20 meeting; to Judith Segel and others from Region VII for the library tour and those involved with  
21 the University Libraries for hosting the reception, and to persons on the System Advisory Board  
22 of the Black Gold Cooperative Library System who hosted the dinner at the Santa Barbara  
23 Museum of Natural History. In addition, Board Members expressed the following comments:

24 Kallenberg expressed appreciation for the good discussions and extended thanks to the  
25 community, as well, for their comments, all useful to decisions that will be made in the future.

1 Purucker thanked Steinhauser and Tichauer for their work on Youth Services, emphasized the  
2 importance of continuing with network development, database development and asked about the  
3 LoC logo. She expressed warm appreciation to Gibson, stating that she was always glad to see  
4 “Liz pop up to bring us all back to reality and good sense.”

5 Steinhauser thanked Gold Coast for being first to apply for network status. She suggested  
6 that possibly the Board meeting be lengthened by a half a day on a regular basis to allow time for  
7 a Committee of the Whole.

8 Lowenthal thanked Gibson for time spent educating her about the LoC, and stated that she,  
9 Steinhauser and Spence will be working with staff to provide educational materials on copyright.

10 Tuttle commended all of the regions for their hard work, highly recommended the  
11 educational library tours, and is happy for the opportunity to continue working with Gibson.

12 Fong thanked her colleagues on the Board, Gibson for her work and Habbestad on the agenda  
13 arrangement. She encouraged the Board to attend the August planning session. She expressed  
14 appreciation for the input on marketing and branding, for David Dowell’s letter, and for input  
15 from others to help the LoC get funding in the future.

16 Robertson thanked Liz Gibson, “for the terrific job she has done as Chief of the Library  
17 Development Services Bureau, not just with the Library of California, also with CLSA, PLF and  
18 LSTA, where we’ve seen a lot more synergy between those programs over the last few years, due  
19 to her remarkable ability to put together team approaches to a lot of these issues.”

20 Dawe again thanked Gibson, stating that, “She is the staff upon which I have leaned throughout  
21 the last two years as President.” He also expressed appreciation for the time she has given to the  
22 LoC, stating that, “Liz has set the standard; she will respond at any time of day or night.” He added  
23 that the Committee of the Whole meeting was one of the better ways to communicate the comments  
24 as the program evolves. He expressed the importance of understanding the budgetary context of  
25 what is being requested, thanked Robertson for responsive answers to questions from the Board,  
26 and expressed appreciation for the volunteer efforts of the Board Members.

1 **AGENDA BUILDING**

2

3 1. Potential locations and Board meeting dates for 2001; need history of how many Board  
4 meetings per year to discuss number for next year.

5

6 2. Presentation from a library director.

7

8 **ADJOURNMENT**

9 There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 4:00

10 p.m., Thursday, June 22, 2000.

11 Respectfully submitted,

12

13

14 \_\_\_\_\_  
Wanda Green, Secretary