

1 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Fong) and carried unanimously to adopt*
2 *“Library of California Board Resolution 2000-01” for Patricia T. Otstott and “Library*
3 *of California Board Resolution 2000-02” for Nolan Frizzelle as Library of California*
4 *Board Members for their contributions to the libraries and people of the State of*
5 *California. (See Attachments A & B)*
6

7 **BOARD PRESIDENT’S REPORT**

8 Dawe reported the following:

- 9 ▪ Proposition 14, a \$350-million bond issue for library construction and renovation, was
10 approved by 59% of California voters; support, including that of professional librarians, was a
11 key to its victory.
- 12 ▪ He thanked Lowenthal for accepting the Board Member position, and Lowenthal thanked
13 staff for the thorough orientation. Dawe provided information to persons interested in serving on
14 the Board and encouraged current members whose terms have expired to contact the appointing
15 authorities to let them know if they are interested in continuing their Board service.
- 16 ▪ He reviewed the amended motions for today’s meeting and suggested that in the future staff
17 use one color of paper for modified motions coming out of committee and to label the motion at
18 the top, “Recommended Motion (Name) as Modified By The Committee (Name)” and the
19 “Document Number” of the motion.
- 20 ▪ He met with staff on budget proposals and how the budget is progressing, which will be
21 explained during the agenda item.
- 22 ▪ He asked for an update from Victoria Fong on Strategic Planning. Fong reported on the
23 planning session at the February Board Meeting in which a mission statement was established and
24 a set of program priorities was finalized for the Library of California. She encouraged Board
25 Members to attend and give their support at the Strategic Planning Retreat on August 16, 2000 in
26 order to focus on goals and objectives. An agenda was handed out for that retreat. Susan
27 Steinhauser and Lowenthal will not be able to attend on August 16th because of the Democratic
28 Convention being held that week. John Kallenberg recommended that an attempt be made to
29 arrange another date to accommodate Board Members unable to attend the previously scheduled

1 August 16-18, 2000 Board Meeting. Discussion followed. Dawe requested that staff determine if
2 a reasonable alternate set of dates was possible.

3 **CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT**

4 Dr. Kevin Starr, California State Librarian, reported as follows:

- 5 ▪ Starr appeared before the Senate Budget Committee. He looks forward to Assembly
6 augmentations for the California Collection to process manuscripts, augmentations in personnel
7 for Government Publications, funds for the future of the Sutro Library, photographic curator
8 position in the Photographic Curatorial Unit to visually document State Government and a
9 \$500,000 augmentation for the Research Bureau which was increased by another \$250,000 for
10 establishing transportation, urban and regional planning.
- 11 ▪ Barbara Will, of the California Research Bureau, will be working on a program to upgrade
12 the State Library's services to State Government. In addition to being a source of reference, it is
13 planned that the State Library will assist agency directors in designing their own information
14 programs. The first phase will be a pilot program with Health & Human Services. Starr wants
15 the program to be an extension of the Library of California in the state government proper and
16 will continue to report the progress of the program.
- 17 ▪ Senator Dede Alpert and Assemblywoman Gloria Romero were appointed to the six-member
18 Library Construction Board. First phase will be writing the regulations and designing the
19 applications for the half billion-dollar bond issue, \$350 million state funds and \$150 million local
20 funds. State Treasurer Phillip Angelides will also sit on the board and is interested in the growth
21 potential for instances of urban planning. Starr suggested that perhaps the Library of California
22 Board could delegate one of its members to take an interest in that project, to work with Richard
23 Hall, Facilities Consultant, to assist in efforts to implement this program. Dawe asked what could
24 be done in the field to develop the process. Starr called on Liz Gibson, Bureau Chief, Library of
25 Development Services (LDS), to respond. Gibson reported that 'Frequently Asked Questions'
26 (FAQs) are being prepared for the State Library's web site; once the Board is established and LDS

1 can work with the new Board, LDS will give them the best guidance possible. Hall is working
2 diligently to move this effort forward, and CSL has submitted a Budget Change Proposal (BCP)
3 for staffing and administrative support. Dr. Starr agreed to fund a series of training sessions that
4 the Stanford Institute and Info People will put together, one on general building program planning,
5 one for a team of planners from different library backgrounds, and a third one that will give people
6 hands on training in software products. Dawe asked for updates on the construction bond progress
7 at each Library of California Board Meeting.

8 ▪ AB 1915, California Civil Liberties Public Education Program, had a successful first year and
9 is proceeding in its second year with \$1million for a series of programs. There is a bill to
10 augment that program, and the State Library is the program administrator.

11 ▪ Starr met with John Haven, Deputy Premier of the Czech Republic on Information
12 Acquisition, and the State Library may be giving them guidance on setting up their research
13 bureau.

14 ▪ Starr will be presenting the Los Angeles Times Books Awards in History.

15 ▪ The State Library Foundation received a bequest in Starr's honor from the late Elizabeth
16 O'Shaughnessy with an ultimate value of \$2 million; he recognized the outstanding work of
17 Vicky Lockhart and of Gary Kurutz with the Foundation.

18 ▪ Planning is in progress for the new home of the Sutro Library at San Francisco State
19 University. The Department of Finance joined the State Library with San Francisco State in a
20 possible \$85 million dollar construction project for a new library at San Francisco State
21 University, including the Sutro Library and a unit of the California Labor Archives.

22 ▪ Preliminary steps have been initiated for aspects of the California Memory Project similar to
23 the Library of Congress American Memory Project. This digitization program is planned to
24 include unique index files created by State Library staff, such as the San Francisco Chronicle
25 index, with a \$75,000 gift from the Wells Fargo Foundation to purchase a complete 100-year run
26 of bound copies. Other files include the California Information file and specialized Sutro files.

- 1 ▪ The Shades of California Project brought to the California State Library over 10,000
2 photographs; the best photographs obtained were digitized. Dr. Starr thanked Al Bennett,
3 Literacy Specialist, for his work on the project.
- 4 ▪ Starr thanked Bessie Condos Tichauer, Children & Youth Services Consultant, for organizing
5 the successful Public Library Directors’ Forum in Santa Barbara, March 21-23, 2000.
- 6 ▪ Benjamin Torres, District Manager of the U.S. Postal Service, and Dr. Starr unveiled a new
7 stamp on the steps of the California State Library commemorating the bicentennial of the Library
8 of Congress and the 150th anniversary of the State Library. Starr thanked Robert Daseler, Public
9 Affairs Officer, for organizing this successful event on April 25, 2000.

10 **PRESENTATION BY SPECIAL LIBRARY DIRECTOR**

11 Diana Paque, Library of California Director, introduced Kathleen D. Proffit, Medical
12 Librarian, at the Sutter Resource Center, a client-based library which provides customer health
13 information.

14 Proffit stated that when the library opened 15 years ago, there were only five consumer health
15 libraries in the United States. There are now five consumer health libraries in Sacramento alone.
16 The Sutter Resource Library serves 20,000 people annually and is supported by the Sutter
17 Hospital Foundation. Consumer health libraries try to help people understand what their
18 physicians have told them and help them understand what the best treatment options are for
19 cancer, Parkinson’s disease and drug babies, for example. The library also serves doctors, nurses
20 and staff at the hospital and serves 26 affiliates of Sutter Hospital that have libraries, and does
21 research for the doctors and nurses in Crescent City and Antioch and other places that don’t have
22 libraries.

23 With a donation from one of Sutter Hospital’s doctors, Sutter Resource Library has started a
24 very small library called, “The Evening Star,” which addresses end of life issues, terminal illness,
25 how to talk to somebody who’s dying, what to say. It provides books for children to help them

1 understand why their uncle is dying of AIDS or why their grandmother who has Alzheimer's
2 doesn't recognize them.

3 Consumer health libraries are facing challenges today because there are 42 million estimated
4 uninsured in the United States; managed care is having people take more responsibility for their
5 own health care. Therefore, consumer health libraries are even more important than they used to
6 be. The major challenge facing consumer health libraries is budget cuts in health care.

7 Proffit emphasized the advantages of belonging to a library system. Medical libraries in the
8 United States have a great resource sharing system; the key sponsor of the program is the
9 National Library of Medicine, supported with Federal funds. Sutter Resource Library is also part
10 of the Mountain Valley Library System (MVLS) and provides reference for MVLS. MVLS put
11 on Internet classes and Proffit now teaches Internet at Sutter. She praised the benefits of the
12 Library of California where all types of libraries can be part of a network in order to share
13 resources.

14 **LEGISLATION**

15 Steinhauser and Barbara Will, Networking Coordinator, reported on legislative activity and
16 information in the Board packet. Steinhauser distributed the handout, "Build An Ongoing
17 Relationship With Your Legislators."

18 **State Legislation:**

- 19 ▪ While the Governor vetoed SB 571, funding for Families for Literacy (FFL), an augmentation
20 of \$508,000 for this purpose in 2000/01 is in the Governor's proposed budget.
- 21 ▪ While the Governor vetoed SB 927, funding to microfilm endangered California newspapers,
22 an augmentation of \$300,000 for this purpose in 2000/01 is in the Governor's proposed budget.
- 23 ▪ AB 2486, Youth Development and Mentoring Program, provides for a grant program to
24 public libraries. A hearing is scheduled for May 10, 2000. Steinhauser asked that letters of
25 support to be sent or faxed to Committee Chair Assemblymember John Longville, to

1 Assemblyman Carl Washington, the sponsor, and faxed to her at (310) 471-2949. AB 2486 is
2 legislation that would implement the Board’s Young Adult Services motion of August 1999.
3 ▪ AB 2311 would provide incentive grants to underperforming schools to hire certified library
4 media teachers and classified library technicians.

5 *It was moved by the Legislation Committee (Steinhauser) and carried by a vote of 9*
6 *yeas, 1 nay (Spence) and 1 abstain (Fong) that the Library of California Board*
7 *supports AB 2311, as amended April 5, 2000, with the proviso that this support*
8 *position in no way inhibits the authority of any planning region or regional library*
9 *network regarding the eligibility status for staff with special attention paid to the*
10 *statutory phrase, “equivalent graduate education” or “demonstrated professional*
11 *experience.”*

12 Discussion followed on library media teachers and on statistics of underperforming schools.
13

14 Will reported that studies in the last three years in three different states show that high school
15 student performance is related to the presence of a librarian/library media teacher and a library in a
16 school.

17 ▪ AB 2757 serves the needs of the deaf, hearing impaired and disabled. A copy of AB 2757
18 was distributed to the Board.

19 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Lowenthal) and carried unanimously that the*
20 *Library of California Board supports AB 2757, as amended April 11, 2000, an act to*
21 *add Article 8 (commencing with Section 18190) to Chapter 2 of Part 11 of the*
22 *Education Code, relating to school libraries.*

23
24 **Federal Legislation:**

25 ▪ Status of HR 354 and HR 1858 on “copyright” and “fair use” is pending in Congress. The
26 Legislative Committee hopes to have an expert on “copyright” and “fair use” at an upcoming
27 Library of California Board Meeting to discuss how the Board should view these issues given its
28 new position of creating databases.

29 ▪ Will, Gibson and Dawe will be in Washington during the week of May 1st providing
30 information to Congress on Federal legislative matters.

31 ▪ Will was recently appointed to a national committee that will be looking at the revision and
32 reauthorization of the Library Services and Technology Act.

1 **LIBRARY OF CALIFORNIA**

2 **General Program Update**

3 Paque gave a brief program update on Library of California implementation items in the
4 packet, including the Funding Activity spreadsheet on allocations from January 1, 1999 through
5 March 31, 2000 and the estimated expenditures for the rest of the year, along with updates on
6 team activities and the 2000 Timeline chart.

7 Lowenthal asked about communicating future events to Board Members; Paque will check
8 into a way of doing that.

9 Dawe turned to the 9:00 a.m. time-certain presentation, which follows.

10
11 **CALIFORNIA DIGITAL LIBRARY/LIBRARY OF CALIFORNIA JOINT STATEMENT**
12 **ON COLLABORATION**

13
14 Paque stated that the intent of the consideration of a joint statement of collaboration between
15 the California Digital Library (CDL) and the Library of California (LoC) is to provide a
16 framework for current and future interactions with the CDL to frame the kinds of projects that the
17 LoC is doing and to provide a vehicle for future planning. She introduced Gary Lawrence and
18 Cate Hutton of the University of California for their presentation on the California Digital
19 Library.

20 Lawrence described the California Digital Library as having the primary responsibility for
21 collecting and providing access to UC library collections that are in digital form, and for
22 development and provision of services to help users gain access and make use of those
23 collections. CDL's second function is to help the University understand what is needed to make
24 the transition to an environment in which all library service features both print and digital
25 resources.

26 CDL's early vision included the emerging Library of California program as a strategic
27 partner. Dr. Starr joined UC President Atkinson at the October 1997 press conference that

1 announced the founding of the CDL, and Lawrence enumerated programs of CDL's longstanding
2 intent to collaborate and partner with the Library of California in achieving mutual goals.

3 LoC provides the administrative, the legal, the financial and the technological framework that
4 makes this kind of large scale collaboration possible. The key elements of data infrastructure
5 remain to be put into place. The most important elements include the establishment and ongoing
6 operation of the Regional Library Networks and the creation of a statewide resource library
7 program. Significant issues are as follows:

- 8 1. Collaboration to advance goals of Library of California;
- 9 2. Joint statement with a clear framework to coordinate and govern multiple activities; and
- 10 3. Need a clear shared sense about what the CDL can do for the Library of California.

11
12 CDL did not intend for the joint statement of collaboration to function as a contract between
13 the CDL and the Library of California, but rather to serve as a memorandum of intent or
14 understanding that helps ensure that the things that we decide to do together are things that
15 mutually support the goals of the Library of California, the University of California and the
16 California Digital Library. For CDL, the joint statement can accomplish three things.

- 17 1. Focus and simplify the ongoing business relationships already established between the
- 18 California State Library, the Library of California staff and CDL.
- 19 2. Describe to the constituency of the Library of California, including UC's librarians what
- 20 the CDL has done and is doing to help move forward the Library of California program
- 21 and what CDL is capable of doing in addition at the present state of development of the
- 22 Library of California.
- 23 3. Both reaffirm the partnership and CDL's mutual commitment with the LoC.

24
25 Starr commended Paque and the Library of California Board for moving toward this
26 implementation and thanked Lawrence and Hutton for the presentation.

27 *It was moved by the Support Services Committee (Kallenberg) and carried*
28 *unanimously that the Library of California Board supports collaboration with the*
29 *California Digital Library (CDL) and directs the Chief Executive Officer to work with*
30 *the CDL to develop a joint statement of collaboration which addresses issues of*
31 *mutual interest and concern, directly relates to the Board's adopted priorities, and*
32 *may be terminated by either party at any time; and, that the Board's approval of*
33 *collaboration with the CDL does not constitute a precedent for interaction with other*
34 *entities; and that the Board authorizes the President to sign the statement when it*
35 *meets the Board's direction, and that a progress report be presented at the June 2000*
36 *meeting. The funding of any collaborative endeavors requires prior approval of the*
37 *Board.*

1 **REPORTS TO THE BOARD Continued**

2 **Presentation on Library of California Communications Program**

3 Catherine Lewis, Management Analyst, and Joel Cohen, Research Program Specialist,
4 reported on what kind of publication the State Library needs based on results from focus groups
5 organized to determine what the library community wants. Key issues identified in a power point
6 presentation addressed marketing, funding, staffing, library identification and library technology.
7 A Web site is being prepared for monthly updates with a spot for hot topics to receive the most
8 current information, the first issue to be released in June.

9 Lewis described the format of the proposed quarterly publication; an eight-page, color glossy
10 prototype was distributed to the Board. A statement of work has been written for launching the
11 publication. Publication cost for graphic design, payment for articles and photography is
12 estimated at \$50,000 per year, which includes some travel, but does not include staff salaries.
13 Graphic designer bids are pending and will include a PDF format of the newsletter that can be
14 transferred to the Web site.

15 Starr thanked Andrew St. Mary, Director of the Bureau of Administration, for support from
16 the Communications Office, Public Affairs Officer Robert Daseler for writing the publication
17 articles, Joel Cohen for his work on the focus groups and Catherine Lewis for the report.

18 Kallenberg asked if the new tool would employ “push technology” and Parker said he would
19 report back to the June Board Meeting on that issue.

20 **LIBRARY OF CALIFORNIA continued**

21 **Implementation**

22 Gibson discussed the importance of the Library of California 2000/2001 funding request.

23 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Wang) and carried unanimously that the Library*
24 *of California Board affirm the request, submitted to the Governor by the State*
25 *Librarian on March 29, 2000, for additional Library of California local assistance*
26 *funds for implementation of Regional and Statewide service program for the fiscal*
27 *year 2000/2001, as this request is described in this agenda item.*

1 Dawe asked and Gibson agreed that it would be helpful to have a letter from the Board
2 President to the Governor in support of the funding request.

3 **Operations**

4 Gibson reviewed the Library of California State Operations (1999/2000) handout provided to
5 the Board. Question and answer discussion followed.

6 **Regulations**

7 Will gave an overview of the regulatory process, the public hearings, the 45-day comment
8 period, review of the public comments and Board recommended changes, the second 15-day
9 comment period and the proposed regulations adopted by the Board in November. The
10 regulations were submitted to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) in December 1999. OAL
11 gave advice on the regulations; the modifications by the Board in February were followed by
12 another 15-day comment period.

13 No comments were received, and the regulations were resubmitted to the OAL on March 28,
14 2000. Will displayed the six binders exhibiting the documentation of the regulations for the
15 Board's perusal. Will stated that the OAL has 30 working days to review them. OAL informally
16 indicated that the Board's proposed modifications met with their approval. Final notification
17 from OAL is expected by May 12, 2000.

18 Gibson stated that Tom Andersen, CLSA Program Coordinator, was sent to training on the
19 rulemaking process, that Diana Paque will also take the rulemaking class and that Will will
20 consult with them to assist the Library of California on the next steps of the rulemaking process.

21 Lowenthal asked that the Legislative Committee receive the training package on rulemaking.
22 Dawe asked staff to provide Lowenthal with the original tape and package on rulemaking handed
23 out to the Board Members at their November 1998 meeting.

24 **LIBRARY OF CALIFORNIA PROGRAM PRIORITIES**

25 Paque reported on Board Priorities for FY 1999/2000 and 2000/2001 for Board consideration.

1 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Purucker) and carried unanimously that the*
2 *Library of California Board adopt the following Program Priorities which the Board*
3 *identified as part of their Planning Session on February 16, 2000:*

4
5 *For FY 1999/2000 (not in priority order):*

- 6 *▪ Develop infrastructure for regional network development including operational*
7 *funding*
- 8 *▪ Implement online databases in all regions aimed at the general public and youth*
- 9 *▪ Board decides the telecommunications model at the regional level and implements*
10 *the selected model*
- 11 *▪ Begin the direct loan pilot*

12 *It was moved, seconded (Kallenberg/Purucker) and carried unanimously that the*
13 *Library of California Board adopt the following program priorities which the Board*
14 *identified as part of their Planning Session on February 16, 2000:*

15
16 *For Fiscal Year 1999/2000 (not in priority order):*

- 17 *▪ Develop infrastructure for regional network development including operational*
18 *funding.*
- 19 *▪ Implement online databases in all regions aimed at the general public and youth.*
- 20 *▪ Select the telecommunications model at the regional level and implement the*
21 *selected model.*
- 22 *▪ Begin the direct loan pilot.*

23 *and for Fiscal Year 2000/2001 (not in priority order):*

- 24 *▪ Develop statewide database programs and criteria for development.*
- 25 *▪ Implement electronic document delivery.*
- 26 *▪ Implement trial databases for specific library type.*
- 27 *▪ Develop statewide resources for statewide licensing.*
- 28 *▪ Implement ILL at the regional and inter-regional level.*

29 Dawe asked for parallel structure at the beginning of priority statements. Roberto

30 Estevez, Planning Region II, asked about the order of the Library of California priorities;

31 Dawe stated that there is no internal order of priority.

32 **Support Services**

33 **Telecommunications Infrastructure**

34 Parker reviewed the status of the Library of California Telecommunications program and the
35 funding of regional telecommunications projects. Kallenberg presented the following motion.

36 *It was moved by the Support Services Committee (Kallenberg) and carried*
37 *unanimously that the Library of California Board adopt the following criteria for the*
38 *regional telecommunications infrastructure:*

- 39
- 40 *• Regional telecommunications programs geographically conform to Library of*
41 *California planning regions, with participation by libraries that meet Library of*
42 *California Interim Eligibility requirements and are capable of supporting the*

- 1 *appropriate technologies.*
- 2 • *When a Regional Library Network replaces a planning region, eligibility consists*
- 3 *of membership in the Regional Library Network and the ability to support the*
- 4 *appropriate technologies.*
- 5 • *Regional Library Networks address regional resource sharing protocols and*
- 6 *ongoing regional telecommunications infrastructure needs in their annual Plans*
- 7 *of Service.*
- 8 • *Regional Library Network gateway catalogs are available to the general public via*
- 9 *remote Internet browsers.*
- 10 • *Regional Library Networks use the Z39.50 protocol as the access protocol for*
- 11 *their telecommunications infrastructure programs.*
- 12 • *Regional telecommunications programs should include electronic interlibrary*
- 13 *loan with the goal of public-initiated loan requests via the Internet.*
- 14 • *Regional Library Networks address the issue of access to materials outside the*
- 15 *region through the adoption of standards and resource sharing protocols in*
- 16 *collaboration with other Regional Library Networks.*
- 17 • *Libraries requesting Z39.50 server software apply through the Library of*
- 18 *California Z39.50 Server Software Grant program.*

19

20 Judith Segel, Black Gold, commented that the characterization in Exhibit A of the

21 Telecommunications Infrastructure Report of Black Gold as having no linked systems project

22 on page 2 and on page 4, 5., was incorrect. She named the linked catalogs of the six libraries

23 participating in Black Gold. Kallenberg noted that staff is aware of the discrepancy and that the

24 document will be updated.

25 Jim Kirks, Cascade Pacific Libraries, made comments supporting the motion. Kallenberg

26 then presented a second motion.

27 *It was moved by the Support Services Committee (Kallenberg) and carried*

28 *unanimously that the Library of California Board authorize the expenditure of up to*

29 *\$2,262,000 to support project grants for regional telecommunications infrastructure*

30 *leading to the establishment of regional library catalog access and electronic*

31 *interlibrary loan, and electronic patron authentication and that the Board direct its*

32 *Chief Executive Officer to develop a grant program to award these funds.*

33

34 Parker noted that authentication servers are used to validate library patrons who are not using

35 their own library to use another library's database or resources. Authentication may also disallow

36 services to certain patrons who have abused their local library privileges.

37 **Related Issues**

38 Parker reviewed the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation grants in his report.

1 **Regional Library Network Development**

2 Paque presented an overview of issues raised in planning region updates and introduced
3 Planning Region II representatives, Linda Crowe, Ann Cousineau, and Janice Koyama for their
4 time-certain presentation. The handout, “Draft Ideas For Consideration: An Informal
5 Communication,” was distributed to the Board.

6 Crowe stated that the Library of California needs to deliver more services now, that the
7 organizational structure needs to be simplified; a time for pause is needed to be sure that the
8 regional structure designed is flexible, streamlined and can best deliver the services that the
9 Library of California was designed to deliver. Janice Koyama supported the concerns addressed
10 in the handout. Ann Cousineau also wants to see more services delivered and discussed the
11 difficulty in explaining to the Legislature what the benefits are from the Library of California.
12 She encouraged the Board to spend existing resources on services geared toward what the Act
13 delineates. Another issue she pointed out is the difficulty in joining regions.

14 Dawe thanked Crowe, Koyama and Cousineau and asked for public comment.

15 John McGinnis, Arroyo Seco, Planning Region IV, did not recommend a pause; he advised
16 the Board not to pause, that to pause could hurt the process.

17 Judith Segel, Gold Coast, Planning Region VII, stated that her region intends to send forward
18 the application for network status for the Library of California Board’s June 2000 agenda and did
19 not recommend a pause in planning.

20 Barbara Jeffus, Department of Education School Library Consultant, suggested that the
21 regions look at the suggestions from Crowe, Koyama and Cousineau for improvement, but not in
22 lieu of the progress of the Library of California.

23 Carl Bengston, Sierra Valley, stated that Planning Region III is ready to submit an application
24 for network formation to the Board at the November meeting and wants to move into the next
25 phase of the program, which will prove to the Legislature and the people of California that the

1 LoC is doing what it set out to do. Planning Region III is ready to incorporate as a library
2 cooperative at the beginning of the year.

3 Kirks stated that over a year ago Planning Region I responded with what it saw as serious
4 flaws in the legislation, and that there are concerns with how rural county schools can qualify to
5 participate in the Library of California and how the flow of transaction based reimbursements can
6 be sustained through the Library of California. At the same time, there is significant progress
7 being made towards the implementation of the Library of California.

8 Joan Frye Williams, Information Technology Consultant, suggested that rather than
9 considering a pause, the Library of California Board celebrate prior work and entertain additional
10 avenues to getting desired results. Opening up the number of pathways may be an approach to
11 take; recommending some implementation could be made statewide rather than through the
12 region, such as in technology, for example. Authentication of borrowers is easily done on a
13 statewide basis; there is no special reason to break that down regionally; she suggests that the
14 Board might want to entertain more than one approach in delivering services.

15 Kathy Aaron, Tierra del Sol, stated that Planning Region V is ready to submit its application.
16 She agreed that some things may be done better at the state level, that a pause doesn't necessarily
17 mean to stop, and stated that Planning Region V would like to continue to move forward.

18 Valerie Meyer, Arroyo Seco, Planning Region IV, recommended that the Library of
19 California continue to move forward.

20 Starr commended regions for their ongoing progress and gradual implementation of services.

21 Dawe added that some grants for services with the LoC would be addressed today.

22 John Kallenberg, representing the Heartland Region, stated that that Planning Region is
23 coming forward with an application for consideration with the Board.

24 Annette Milliron, North Bay Cooperative, discussed the Joint Powers Agreement (JPA)
25 structure that North Bay developed with regard to a contract with non-public libraries in their
26 system area, and asked if something similar could be used for Library of California.

1 Kirks stated that Cascade Pacific Libraries was having difficulty finding a resource library.

2 Crowe thanked the Board for listening.

3 Purucker spoke about the newness of the Library of California and recommended to not take
4 too much of a pause, that we would be better served to continue deliberately and reflectively.

5 Starr agreed with Purucker.

6 Lowenthal indicated the need to be cautious in terms of what is best for the end user, and
7 asked if Planning Regions could convene a group to delineate more specific comments on
8 concerns that could be addressed.

9 Fong thanked the panel and entertained the opportunity to consider other creative avenues.

10 Kallenberg suggested that Planning Regions and staff identify specific issues that the Board
11 needs to address.

12 In order to continue to move forward, Dawe reiterated that the reason Planning Region
13 updates were requested was to address their specific needs in relation to their development
14 towards becoming a Regional Library Network. Dawe asked Paque for comment.

15 Paque stated that the information Planning Regions offered generated a very thoughtful
16 discussion on current issues and that the Library of California staff is seeking further legal review
17 on organizational structure, to assure that the best choices are made as the Library of California
18 moves forward in its planning.

19 Dawe asked Starr for any suggestions for a process to continue to discuss these issues. Starr
20 commented on the process in place and how the panel used the Library of California Board, a
21 public meeting to address concerns and emphasized the progress of the Library of California.
22 Starr hopes there would be no unilateral movement to suspend the program or alter it legally, as
23 we would want to maintain a unified front in the library profession, and appreciates the ideas
24 presented in the discussion.

1 Koyama reiterated need for libraries to clarify roles and what they are striving for. Dawe
2 suggested that Planning Regions communicate additional concerns directly to Library of
3 California staff as well.

4 **CLSA Statewide Communications and Delivery Program**

5 Parker stated that this program is addressed in the Library of California Act. It has been
6 unfunded under CLSA and should be considered as a Library of California issue.

7 **Statewide Information Databases**

8 Ira Bray, Electronic Resources Consultant, reviewed the funding request for support of a
9 cooperative purchasing arrangement for those libraries interested in continuing to offer RAND
10 California in the next fiscal year. Bray will be working with the California State Library
11 Communications Office to release information about RAND. In absence of the Support Services
12 Committee chair, Dawe called on Kennedy to present the committee's motion.

13 *It was moved by the Support Services Committee (Kennedy) and carried unanimously*
14 *that the Library of California Board direct its Chief Executive Officer to allocate*
15 *Library of California funds not to exceed \$25,000 for support of fiscal agent workload*
16 *for a cooperative purchasing arrangement for the RAND California database.*

17
18 A question and answer discussion followed on pricing, the savings involved, and an
19 evaluation of the database. A survey to assess the RAND Trial will be posted on the Web at the
20 same time that notification is made regarding the availability of the collaborative purchasing
21 arrangement.

22 Kallenberg reported on the committee's discussion of continuing support of the Library of
23 California Periodicals/Serials database and made the following motion from committee.

24 *It was moved by the Support Services Committee (Kallenberg) and carried*
25 *unanimously that the Library of California Board direct its Chief Executive Officer to*
26 *allocate Library of California funds not to exceed \$387,000 for continuing support of*
27 *the Library of California Periodicals/Serials database.*

28
29 Kallenberg then presented a second motion from committee regarding Library of California
30 support for the Librarians' Index to the Internet.

1 *It was moved by the Support Services Committee (Kallenberg) and carried*
2 *unanimously that the Library of California Board authorize the expenditure of up to*
3 *\$192,000 to support the Librarians' Index to the Internet on behalf of the Library of*
4 *California and that the Board direct its Chief Executive Officer to award these funds to*
5 *the Peninsula Library System to continue the Librarians' Index to the Internet through*
6 *June 30, 2001.*

7 Kallenberg described the index and discussed cobranding issues. Bray added comments on
8 statistics, linkages, user comments and quality of information and stated that a high quality of
9 service has been maintained. Parker recommended having an advisory committee to review any
10 policy or editorial issues that may arise to ensure that the Librarians' Index continues to be a
11 balanced and appropriate resource for dissemination by libraries and by the Library of California.
12 Parker also noted that the evaluation of this project continues to look at this in other ways to
13 assess its effectiveness in serving the needs of the California library users. Kennedy
14 congratulated Carole Leita for her work on the index. Parker will report on the evaluation of the
15 current project at the June meeting.

16 **Statewide Information Databases – California Digital Library's Government Information** 17 **Initiative**

18
19 Kallenberg reported that about \$300,000 in state operations funds are committed to this
20 project for the first phase that develops a search engine.

21 Andersen, Project Monitor, addressed unresolved issues not in the report: 1) how the database
22 will be publicized and presented, and the Library of California's role in supporting objectives of
23 that, and 2) the reporting structure and evaluation procedure have yet to be developed.

24 **CLSA Statewide Data Base Program**

25 Kallenberg stated there was nothing further to report.

26 **Regional Library Network Development**

27 Kallenberg reported the committee's actions and presented the following recommendation.

28 *It was moved by the Support Services Committee (Kallenberg) and carried*
29 *unanimously to ratify the decision made by the Board President and Vice President to*
30 *expend \$3,753 above the amount approved by the Board in February 2000.*

31
32 Kallenberg reviewed the committee's support of the following motion.

1 **CLSA Interlibrary Loan and Direct Loan Programs**

2 Sandy Habbestad, CLSA Program Analyst, reviewed the reimbursement rates for the
3 Interlibrary Loan and Direct Loan Program and the annual cost studies that determined the
4 proposed rates.

5 *It was moved by the Access Services Committee (Fong) and carried unanimously that*
6 *the Library of California Board adopt, subject to the concurrence of the State*
7 *Department of Finance, reimbursement rates for the 2000/01 fiscal year as follows: for*
8 *CLSA interlibrary loans, a reimbursement rate of \$4.14 per eligible transaction; for*
9 *CLSA direct loans, a reimbursement rate of \$.77 per eligible transaction; and that the*
10 *Chief Executive Officer inform all participants of the 2000/01 reimbursement rates as*
11 *soon as Department of Finance concurrence is obtained.*

12
13 **CLSA State Reference Centers Program**

14 Will reported on the history and current status of the State Reference Centers Program,
15 including the current LSTA grant-funded First Source demonstration project, which has never
16 been funded by state funds. The use of the back-up program for regional reference has increased
17 from 8 to 12% of reference transactions supported with a central service provider (under previous
18 pilot projects), and grew to 53% with the technologically provided service of First Source. The
19 cost went from \$1.2 million to \$400,000 annually. Based on the competitiveness of the program
20 and its value to the State, the State Librarian will consider funding it in full with federal Library
21 Services and Technology Act (LSTA) funds for one more year (2000/2001).

22 **CALIFORNIA LIBRARY LITERACY SERVICE AND FAMILIES FOR LITERACY**

23 Wang gave a committee report. Bennett added that during the Legislative Day of the
24 California Library Association, Assembly budget committee member Tom Torlakson indicated
25 interest in introducing a member request for an augmentation to the California Library Literacy
26 Service, which would mean an increase in matching funds. Nine libraries attended training
27 sessions on how to apply for being a new participant in the Literacy Campaign. LDS is
28 interviewing for a new literacy staff position.

29 Wang stated that completion of the results of the evaluation for the California Literacy
30 Campaign is being developed on a database, approximately a 10-month project. The second

1 study, “Fulfill: Families Utilizing Libraries For Improving Literacy & Learning,” using federal
2 LSTA funds is a three-year study, and annual progress reports will be made to the Board. With
3 the passage of Proposition 10, the tobacco tax, is funding for two Families for Literacy projects;
4 one includes new mobile units for the program. Additional support of \$508,000 was included in
5 the Governor’s budget for Families for Literacy programs.

6 **CALIFORNIA LIBRARY SERVICES ACT TRANSITION**

7 **CLSA Program Transitioning**

8 Kallenberg stated there is no additional information to report on transitioning. There are
9 concerns and issues in the field that need to be addressed on sequencing CLSA programs into the
10 Library of California. Staff will discuss ideas for developing position pieces with the field and
11 the Board in the coming months. Paque added that transitional concerns listed under Regional
12 Library Network Development, Document 20, page 9 in the Board packet indicate significant
13 interest in the field for the Board to set parameters for the discussion on transition.

14 As transition progresses, Kallenberg stated that some elements of the ILL program being
15 conducted under Access Services will interface with the CLSA Program.

16 **Equal Access Program**

17 Kallenberg stated there is nothing additional to report. Plans of Service forms have been
18 distributed to systems and they are preparing new plans.

19 **System Advisory Board Program**

20 Kallenberg stated that the committee discussed the recognition program for the November
21 Board meeting.

22 **Consolidations and Affiliations**

23 A report from staff is in the packet on which libraries are not members of systems. Not listed
24 is the rural portion of Lassen County outside of the Susanville library district. It is the only area
25 in California where there is no public library service.

1 **OPEN FORUM**

2 Barbara Custen, Arroyo Seco, thanked the Board for awarding the grants and thanked staff
3 for responsive efforts to fulfill requests and answer questions.

4 Jim Kirks, Cascade Pacific Libraries, displayed a printout from the State Library's Web site
5 describing a publication by Joel Cohen on Communications Focus Groups. He expressed concern
6 about SB 1617 by Senator Tim Lesley on public libraries about Internet harmful material.

7 **BOARD COMMENTS**

8 Tuttle thanked staff, especially Tichauer and everyone who provided support to the Young
9 Adult Services Program. She thanked Dawe for guidance through the meeting and Paque for
10 baking treats for the Board.

11 Wang thanked staff for their assistance and welcomed Lowenthal to the Board.

12 Fong extended thanks in appreciation of everyone's work, welcomed Lowenthal, and
13 especially appreciated the content of today's meeting. She suggested that staff consider rotation
14 of future committee reports on the agenda and indicated that perhaps there should be some policy
15 or time limits on intermittent presentations that come before the Board.

16 Kennedy commented on the Library of California's progress and the creation of services that
17 can be publicized through the State Library's developing communication system, and expressed
18 her appreciation of a good meeting.

19 Lowenthal thanked staff for their work and the time given toward her orientation to prepare
20 for today's meeting and thanked fellow Board Members for their indulgence with her questions.

21 Kallenberg thanked staff for the change in the Board Meeting room setup in the State Library.

22 Starr welcomed Lowenthal to the Board and noted her creativity and engagement with this
23 program. He stated that it is a pleasure to work under the direction of this Board, and recognized
24 the Board's accomplishments in the last couple of days.

25 Dawe expressed gratitude to all involved for the success of this meeting and appreciation to
26 Lowenthal for her comments and involvement.

1 **AGENDA BUILDING**

- 2 1. August 17th, 29th 30th the facilitator is available for the Strategic Planning Session; poll the
3 Board again on dates for the August Board Meeting; Dawe asked for a committee of two,
4 Gibson and Fong for polling the Board.
5 2. Staff to help formulate a motion to adopt the mission statement that came out of the Planning
6 Session at the February 2000 meeting and include in the packet some information about what
7 has happened in the planning.
8
9 3. Planning Region VII submitting network status application for the June 21-22, 2000 Board
10 Meeting in Santa Barbara; Judith Segel expects to have application in by May 12, 2000.
11 Gibson or Paque will speak with Segel about timing for the ceremonial significance.
12
13 4. Librarians' Index evaluation of percentages for the June meeting.
14
15 5. Legislative Committee or Committee of the whole needs an hour for a specialist on copyright
16 and fair use issues. Steinhauser and Will will work on getting a specialist.
17
18 6. Demonstration of the new CSL Newsletter on the Website, if available.

19
20 **ADJOURNMENT**

21 There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at
22 4:50 p.m. on Thursday, April 27, 2000.

23 Respectfully submitted,

24
25 _____
26 Wanda Green, Secretary